



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

tially our bankruptcy system, even with the theoretical union of forces in the person of receiver or trustee. The weakness of the creditors' position is said to have tempted at times even a receiver or a trustee; the infliction of most severe punishment might perhaps help in restoration of the practical system to more general public respect.

The desire of Mr. Gilbert or his publishers to keep the work within one volume, has required some sacrifice of desirable physical qualities found in earlier editions. The inner margin is trying; the notes have now grown so important as to require larger type than is given to them; even here, in the growing quotation from judicial reasoning, one suspects the author of unconsciously adopting a more constructive attitude than he professes. But until this attitude is more openly avowed, the book is apt to be what it has been, a most complete reference work for practitioners, but a labyrinth to law students.

Michael F. Dee.

FOREIGN COMPANIES AND OTHER CORPORATIONS. By E. HILTON YOUNG, M. A. Cambridge, England: UNIVERSITY PRESS. 1912. pp. xii, 332.

This scholarly production deserves careful consideration from all who are interested in the subjects of Corporations and Conflict of Laws. The first part of the book is devoted to a detailed discussion of the status and capacity of the juristic person in Private International Law. The second part deals with the position in English law of the foreign corporation. The latter part is of primary interest to the student of private law alone. The former part deserves the study of the political scientist as well.

In his modest preface, Mr. Young explains that the seed from which germinated his present study was the consideration given by him to the well known case of *Risdon Iron Works v. Furness* L. R. [1906] 1 K. B. 49. The reviewer can well understand the fascination. There are few more interesting or knotty problems in the entire field of corporation law. The brilliant treatment of this topic is merely characteristic of the general excellence of the volume. The reviewer ventures, however, to suggest that the recent American decisions in *Chesley v. Soo Lignite Coal Co.* (1909) 19 N. Dak. 18, 121 N. W. 73, *Leyner Engineering Works v. Kepner* (1908) 163 Fed. 605, and *Thomas v. Matthiesen* (1909) 170 Fed. 362, shed some slight additional measure of light to guide us.

The book is an admirable attempt to blaze a trail in a much-tangled juridical maze. It is, however, as the learned author admits, "an attempt rather to open up the subject to discussion than to provide a full or final solution." There remains, still, an infinite amount of work to be done. And, it must be added, clever and interesting as are Mr. Young's theories, some of them are very far, indeed, from acceptance, at least in our courts.

The style is lucid, the references—even to American case law—reasonably ample, and the general make-up of the work above criticism. The author deserves the thanks of the profession on both sides of the Atlantic.

I. Maurice Wormser.

A HANDBOOK ON ELECTION LAWS. By JAMES HAMILTON LEWIS and ALBERT H. PUTNEY. Chicago: ILLINOIS BOOK EXCHANGE. 1912. pp. 279.

The history of election laws constitutes one of the most interesting chapters in legal history. The origin and evolution of elections is